2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: MSD Lawrence Township Instructional Cadre

DISTRICTS SERVED: MSD Lawrence Twp.

OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP: 122 (English/Language Arts & Mathematics)

2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: A-

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY:

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: B-

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 40%

Overall score: 3.5 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting:

District recommends continuation?: 100% of districts recommend continuation

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 100%

Overall Score: 3.3 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: A-

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 40% 3.5 out of 4.0 Overall score: **DISTRICT REPORT:** % of districts reporting: 100% Overall score: 100% (18/18 possible points) PRINCIPAL REPORT: % of principals reporting: 100% Overall score: 3.7 out of 4.0 ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE: 4.0 out of 4.0 Go to (http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitor0607/MSD%20LT%20Onsite% 20monitoring% 20Report% 20(2-2-07).pdf) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2006-2007 **SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:** A **ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS COMPLETION RATE:** 16% % OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS 86% TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER: DRA/CTB Unit Math % OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS 97% % OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: 86.1% (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by MSDLT Instructional Cadre in 2006-2007, 88% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts, and 83% made gains in Math, which exceeded the statewide average in both subjects for all SES students statewide. 67% of students made one year's growth in English/Language Arts, exceeding the statewide average for all SES students in that subject, and 50% did so in Math, slightly exceeding the statewide average. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ stayed the same at 33% for English/Language Arts, and decreased from 50% to 46% in Math.

Category	MSDLT Instructional Cadre (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	MSDLT Instructional Cadre (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	24	1675	24	1645
% showing growth on ISTEP+ scale score	88%	71%	83%	73%
% showing substantial (one year's) growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score**	67%	49%	50%	49%
% passing ISTEP+ (2006)	33%	43%	50%	52%
% passing ISTEP+ (2007)	33%	42%	46%	51%

^{*}Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For MSDLT Instructional Cadre, 15 matches out of 24 participating students (63%) were made for Math, and 12 matches out of 24 participating students (50%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS							
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)	
SES			87%	40%	25.3	60%	
Not SES	15	63%	87%	87%	41.8	73%	

^{**}Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

As shown in the chart above, 87% of the SES students and the similar non-SES included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score. However, 87% of the non-SES students made one year's growth, compared to 40% of the SES students. More non-SES students (73%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than SES students (60%).

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS							
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)	
SES			83%	67%	26.1	33%	
Not SES	12	50%	92%	67%	27.4	33%	

As shown in the chart above, 92% of the non-SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (83%) of the SES students. However, the same percentage (67%) of the SES students and the similar but non-participating students showed substantial (one year's growth) on ISTEP+. As well, the same percentage of SES and similar non-SES students (33%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007. When examining differences and similarities, it is important to note that the sample is small (12).

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE: B-

OVERALL GRADE: B+